10/616,279

8

Case 51791AUSD1

REMARKS

Applicants have amended Claim 35. The amendment adds no new matter and is fully supported by the Specification. Claims 24-29, 31-34, 36-41 and 44-46 have been withdrawn in response to a restriction requirement.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102

The Examiner has maintained the rejection of Claim 35 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheppard (WO 98/45442), as evidenced by Kreitman (Exp. Op. Pharmacother., 2000, 1:1117-1129).

In view of the amendment to Claim 35, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 35 under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b).

Provisional Double-Patenting Rejections

The Examiner has provisionally rejected Claim 35 under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claim 26 of co-pending Application Nos. 10/624,884 and 10/895,183. Applicants are not aware of any claims having been allowed in either of these applications.

51791AUSD1amdaf

10/616,279

9

Case 51791AUSD1

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that with the submission of newly amended Claim 35 and the arguments presented above, the application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is solicited at an early date.

We believe no fee is due, however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge deposit account 02-2117 for any fees necessary to facilitate processing of this application. This is not, however, authorization to charge the issue fce. Two copies of this paper are enclosed for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Wenay L. Washtie.
Wendy L. Washtien, Ph.D.
Agent for Applicant
Page 18-26-26

Reg. No. 36,301

Berlex Biosciences 2600 Hilltop Drive P.O. Box 4099 Richmond, CA 94806 Telephone: (510) 262-5411

Fax: (510) 262-7095 Date: February 10, 2006

51791AUSD1amdaf